generation of 514.99 lakh mandays. A total of 19,122 works was completed and 31,232 works are in progress.

(b) and (c) The Government is aware of the study undertaken in the identified backward Districts of Yavatmal, Hingoli and Nanded of Maharashtra. The major issues raised by the study were (i) non-payment of full wages to the target group, (ii) no earmarking of women and no facilities for them at the work site, (iii) no transparency being maintained, (iv) non-adherence of Guidelines with regards to setting up of local monitoring committees, time bound payment of wages to the workers etc. and (v) low piece rate of payment of wages. These issues were examined in detail and report of the State Government of Maharashtra was also obtained in this regard. The study is based on some solitary incidents and most of the issues raised are basically due to provisions of the Programme Guidelines not being very clear to the study team and some initial implementation related problems being faced by the implementing/executing agencies. Detailed para-wise comments of the Ministry and the State Government of Maharashtra as well on the issues raised by the study was sent to the leader of the study team. Instructions have been reiterated to the State Governments to ensure compliance of the Guidelines. Moreover, considering the magnitude of the programme that was launched only about seven months ago, structures and processes envisaged for creating accountability for delivering the benefits intended in the programme may take some time to become effective and this itself will need constant, vigilant support from all quarters at every level.

Success of rural development scheme in Eastern Uttar Pradesh

†1086. SHRI DARA SINGH CHAUHAN: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the reasons for the centrally sponsored rural development schemes not achieving desired success in Eastern Uttar Pradesh;

(b) the details of the amount released and the work done during the last three years particularly with regard to Azamgarh, Mau, Ambedkar Nagar, Sultanpur and Faizabad under CAPART, SGSY, EAS, JGSY and PMGSY and the extent to which it is below the national average; and

(c) whether Government would provide a package in view of the backwardness of Eastern Uttar Pradesh?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI SURYAKANTA PATIL): (a) According to the performance report furnished by the Government of Uttar Pradesh in respect of the Rural Development Schemes, it has been found satisfactory including Eastern part of the State.

(b) The financial performance including amount allocated, released utilized and physical achievement under the major schemes namely, the Swarnjayanti Gram Swarozgar Yojana (SGSY), the Sampoorna Gramin Rozgar Yojana (SGRY), the Pradhan Mantri Gram Sadak Yojana (PMGSY) and the projects approved by CAPART in the districts of Azamgarh, Mau, Ambedkar Nagar, Sultanpur and Faizabad during the last three years *i.e.* 2002-03, 2003-04 and 2004-05 is enclosed as Statements I, II and III respectively *(See* below*)*.

(c) No, Sir.

Distribution of foodgrains under Food for Work Programme

†1087. SHRIMATI KUM KUM RAI: Will the Minister of RURAL DEVELOPMENT be pleased to state:

(a) the State-wise details of distribution of foodgrains till June, 2005 under 'Food for Work' Programme;

(b) the number of States where this Scheme is in operation;

(c) the total assets built and work done under this Scheme till June, 2005; and

(d) the total amount sanctioned and spent under this scheme as on June, 2005?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT (SHRIMATI SURYAKANTA PATIL): (a) to (d) The National Food for Work Programme is in operation in 150 most backward Districts of 27 States *w.e.f.* November, 2004. The Statement indicating State-wise details of foodgrains authorized, lifted and distributed, total numbers of works completed and under progress and total funds released and utilized since inception till June, 2005 is enclosed (*See* below).

---

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.